UTILIZATION OF K-NEAREST NEIGHBOR ALGORITHM TO ANALYZE AND CLASSIFY HEART DISORDERS BASED ON ELECTROCARDIOGRAM RECORDING DATA

Sumiati^{1*}, Hanif Nurmajid², Muhammad Ibrohim³ Hendry Gunawan⁴

^{1, 2, 3, 4} Teknik Informatika Fakultas Teknologi Informasi Universitas Serang Raya Jln. Raya Cilegon Serang – Drangong Kota Serang

¹ sumiatiunsera82@gmail.com

² hanifnurmajid@gmail.com ³ Muhamad.ibrohim01@gmail.com

⁴ hendrygunawan@unsera.ac.id.

Abstract

This study develops a system to classify heart conditions based on electrocardiogram (ECG) medical records using the K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) method. This system aims to assist medical personnel, especially doctors, in analyzing ECG results more efficiently, considering the limited number of doctors and practice schedules, with the KNN method, the system can classify heart conditions based on the proximity of the patient's ECG data to other ECG data whose conditions are already known. The results of this study have an accuracy of 80%, a value of 0.88 on the Success Rate and 0.54 on Kappa. This study provides a significant contribution in the use of technology to improve the efficiency of heart examinations. This KNN-based system can be used as a tool in the diagnostic process, considering the limited medical resources. In the future, the development of this system can be done by increasing the amount of data, more complete features, or trying other more complex classification methods to improve accuracy and Kappa.

Keyword: Heart Disorders, Classification, K-Nearest Neighbor, Success Rate and Kappa Statistic

I.INTRODUCTION

Health is a very valuable asset for every individual, because everyone is at risk of experiencing health problems. Therefore, it is important for us to maintain our health to avoid various diseases, including heart disease [1]. The heart is a vital organ in the human body that plays a major role in pumping blood throughout the body. The blood pumped by the heart functions as a transporter of nutrients and oxygen needed by other organs of the body [2][3]. Heart disorders refer to conditions that affect the blood vessels of the heart, heart rhythm, heart valves, or disorders caused by congenital factors. Some types of heart disorders include coronary heart disease, heart failure, arrhythmia, and congenital heart disease. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) report in 2005, of the total 58 million deaths worldwide, around 17.5 million (30%) were caused by heart and blood vessel disease, with heart attacks (7.5 million) and strokes (5.7 million) being the main causes. It is estimated that in 2015, deaths from heart and blood vessel disease will increase to 20 million[5][6].

An electrocardiogram (ECG) is a tool used to check the health of the heart. This tool is usually only available in certain hospitals or clinics, and only a few nurses or specialist doctors have the ability to operate and diagnose the results of an ECG examination. At Sari Asih Hospital, Serang, Banten, a heart examination using an electrocardiogram takes about 15 to 20 minutes. After the ECG results are available, the doctor will analyze the medical records to determine the diagnosis of the patient's heart condition. Patients who are diagnosed with heart abnormalities will be scheduled for further examination[7][8].

Various studies in the field of computing have been carried out to contribute to the world of health, especially in analyzing heart disorders. A heart disease prediction system using data mining has a number of benefits, such as prevention, diagnosis, treatment planning, and reducing patient management costs[9][10]. The research conducted by Gunsai Dineshgar and Lolita Singh succeeded in developing a heart disease prediction system modeling, which can help health practitioners in making more appropriate clinical decisions [12]. the K-Nearest Neighbors (K-NN) algorithm is a method for classifying objects based on training data that has the closest distance to the object being analyzed. K-Nearest Neighbor is based on the concept of "learning by analogy". Some of the advantages of the K-NN algorithm include: its resistance to training data containing a lot of noise and its effectiveness when used on large amounts of training data [13][14].

This study develops a system to classify heart conditions based on electrocardiogram (ECG) medical records using the K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) method. This system aims to help medical personnel, especially doctors, in analyzing ECG results more efficiently, considering the limited number of doctors and practice schedules, with the KNN method, the system can classify heart conditions based on the proximity of the patient's ECG data to other ECG data whose conditions are already known.

II.RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research stages consist of Literature Study, Data Collection, Initial Classification of Electrocardiogram Data, System Analysis, System Design and Creation, System Testing, and Accuracy Level Testing.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study examines how to diagnose heart disorders based on Electrocardiogram (ECG) recording results by applying the K-Nearest Neighbor method. In this study, heart disorders can be predicted using Electrocardiogram (ECG) data through the K-Nearest Neighbor method. A total of 100 data were used, with 80 data for training and 20 data for testing, the results of which were calculated manually using the K-Nearest Neighbor method.

- a. Determining the K Value
- In this study, the K value used is 5.

b. Calculate the Distance Between New Data and Each Labeled Data or Training Data.

The distance calculation uses the Euclidean Distance formula.

$$d_{i} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{P} (x_{2i} - x_{1i})^{2}}$$

Table 1. Testing Data Distance Calculation 16

N 0	H R	P- R	QR S	Q T	QT C	AXI S	RV 6	SV1	R+S	Jarak	Diagnosa Pakar
1	13 4	15 7	95	31 8	433	153	0.58	0.32	0.9	209.07 5	AbNormal
2	10 6	16 9	99	32 9	439	41	2.36	1.59	3.95	96.160 9	AbNormal
3	13 7	27 1	113	38 5	420	66	0.89	0.96	1.85	178.39 4	AbNormal
4	$ \begin{array}{c} 14\\ 0 \end{array} $	21 2	99	33 6	412	57	1.08	0.12	1.2	139.37 8	AbNormal
5	13 6	15 8	98	39 0	433	17	1.1	0.5	3.32	103.39 14	AbNormal
6	13 4	13 6	110	27 2	406	40	1.19	2.13	3.32	142.88 6	AbNormal
7	11 8	16 9	100	31 4	441	61	2.36	1.59	3.95	120.56 91	AbNormal
8	12 4	15 1	99	37 8	458	67	2.38	1.28	3.66	127.93 36	AbNormal
9	$ \begin{array}{c} 14\\ 0 \end{array} $	26 6	80	39 4	420	51	0.91	0.22	1.13	166.07 58	AbNormal
10	12 5	15 2	108	37 3	417	63	2.26	0.94	3.2	132.66 27	AbNormal
11	$ \begin{array}{c} 10\\ 0 \end{array} $	15 0	86	35 8	462	38	0.25	-1.12	1.66	87.664 97	AbNormal
12	84	92	398	47 0	470	26	- 0.74	1	3	360.12 69	AbNormal
13	11 4	10 4	96	28 2	388	-11	2.86	- 0.42 4	3.77	124.83 4	AbNormal
14	$\frac{10}{2}$	14 0	110	34 0	442	43	1.63 3	- 0.55 1	0.57	100.99 21	AbNormal
15	11 5	13 5	84	34 0	471	54	1.43	0.97	2.4	109.78 4	AbNormal
16	66	13 0	98	38 0	398	26	2.34 1	- 1.90 4	1.65	107.84 3	AbNormal

DOI 10.30656/jsii.v11i2.9164
p-ISSN: 2406-7768 e-ISSN: 2581-2181
e-ISSN: 2581-2181

17	85	13 6	102	36 5	435	-4	2.6	1.09 6	1.97	64.443 31	AbNormal
18	13 3	11 2	92	29 0	430	42	1.9	- 1.09 6	86	156.61 27	AbNormal
19	10 0	17 4	119	34 9	450	69	1.24	0.42	1.66	123.46 34	AbNormal
20	75	12 6	135	39 8	447	99	2.26	0.11	2.37	167.86 02	AbNormal
21	12 2	11 6	87	29 1	415	44	0.93	0.28	1.21	130.52 62	AbNormal
22	88	14 6	91	34 3	417	31	2.05	1.9	3.95	89.743 25	AbNormal
23	16 3	11 2	93	26 7	441	122	0.72	1.04	1.76	210.36 26	AbNormal
24	15 0	13 1	98	36 5	391	65	1.29	0.34	1.63	155.12 66	AbNormal
25	13 2	61	73	30 1	128	131	0.4	0.4	0.8	395.24 24	AbNormal
26	13 3	11 2	82	29 0	430	43	1.9	1.98	1.36	131.60 24	AbNormal
27	64	25 8	96	44 2	456	73	1.19	-2.16	3.36	180.12 26	AbNormal
28	14 1	82	100	27 8	426	20	1.32	-1.95	3.27	145.41 95	AbNormal
29	11 4	10 4	96	28 2	388	-11	2.86	-0.42	3.77	124.83 39	AbNormal
30	12 8	82	100	36 0	524	43	0.35	-0.32	0.96	147.84 51	AbNormal
31	12 7	80	110	37 2	380	53	1.49	-0.75	1.66	165.28 18	AbNormal
32	10 0	82	100	36 6	366	51	2.8	-2.36	3.77	161.55 09	AbNormal
33	16 0	81	100	34 9	570	69	3.94	-0.86	1.66	198.41 98	AbNormal
34	13 2	91	73	32 0	475	13	1.04	0.96	3.36	111.92 9	AbNormal
35	12 6	14 8	98	28 5	418	40	2.25	1.45	3.7	124.43 11	AbNormal
36	10 2	20 7 14	100	35 3	420	38	1.26	1.29	1.55	106.75 57	Normal
37	10 2	2	87	31 6	413	57	0.61	1.08	1.63	119.69 73	Normal
38	77	18 0	110	37 6	428	39	1.14	1.54	2.68	100.94 94	Normal
39	85	17 0	91	35 7	425	6	0.99	0.41	1.4	64.467 25	Normal
40	87	15 3	90	34 6	412	51	0.95	0.41	1.36	107.72 66	Normal
41	12 1 11	61	73	30 1 20	128	131	0.4	0.4	0.8	393.80 65	Normal
42	0 10	11 7 15	81	29 4 35	398	24	1.2	0.61	1.81	119.55 04	Normal
43	2	6	97	5	464	-36	1.02		1.52	37.683 43	Normal
44	99 10	13 6 15	98	33 7 34	433	37	1.01	0.73	1.74	94.985 19	Normal
45	3	15 5 14	81	9 34	458	0	0.98	0.88	1.86	52.615 23 50.577	Normal
46	91	6 15	98	3 36	422	-36	1.02	0.56	1.52	08 91.325	Normal
47	76	3	102	2 36	403	20	0.64	0.26	0.9	57 140.91	Normal
48	69	7	83	9 44	397	80	0.76	0.28	1.04	55	Normal
49	76	0	96	6 25	338	422	0.74	1.2	1.8	492.05 205.53	Normal
50	95	4 17	100	2 33	316	65	1.38	-0.42	3.15	4 88.169	Normal
51	98 10	8	98	8 42	430	32	1.88	-0.88	2.37	95 180.26	Normal
52	1	98 14	98	0 34	420	97	1.72	2.14	1.82	52	Normal
53	85	6	94	4 44	408	26	-	2.29	1.98	90.647 411.27	Normal
54	64	82 14	432	6 33	446	115	0.73	1.88	1.18	78	Normal
55	93	6 14	90	8 37	420	126	0.45	-0.5	1.66	82 87.189	Normal
56	71	2 14	88	4 40	406	13	2.53	-0.42	2.95	87 123.42	Normal
57	60	6 16	98	8 36	408	43	1.58	-0.42	3.4	78 97.098	Normal
58	73	6 13	92	2 36	414	39	1.09	0.47	1.56	3	Normal
59	74	7	104	5	407	40	1.43	0.59	2.02	24	Normal

6	60	10 0	12 4	124	26 6	342	115	1.14	-1.71	1.82	222.5	Normal
6	51	70	13 0	88	37 1	411	42	- 1.31	0.62	1.98	108.09 77	Normal
6	i2	87	15 0	99	37 1	448	87	1.07	1.42	1.18	136.53 9	Normal
6	i3	63	12 9	102	37 5	403	48	2.04	1.07	1.66	120.57 26	Normal
6	i4	10 3	15 2	99	37 4	492	31	1.5	0.47	1.97	94.115 54	Normal
6	5	94	15 0	96	33 8	422	43	1.2	-0.39	1.97	99.167 91	Normal
6	6	93	12 1	97	35 7	445	44	1.82	0.75	1.56	102.84 93	Normal
6	57	91	14 9	114	29 7	297	-8	1	0.92	1.92	178.68 54	Normal
6	i8	87	11 9	98	32 5	325	54	1.21	0.47	1.97	175.06 7	Normal
6	i9	77	14 6	104	36 0	360	43	1.18	-0.88	1.66	136.98 95	Normal
7	0	98	17 5	135	38 0	380	111	2.82	0.25	3.02	188.54 58	Normal
7	1	93	14 6	90	33 8	420	126	0.45 6	-0.5	86	195.45 09	Normal
7	2	71	14 2	88	37 4	406	13	2.53	- 0.42 4	2.95 4	87.189 98	Normal
7	3	60	14 6	98	40 8	408	43	1.58	0.42 4	3.4	123.42 79	Normal
7	4	82	14 3	97	36 7	429	-3	1.57	0.5	2.07	63.418 87	Normal
7	5	79	15 8	93	36 0	414	14	0.62	0.94	1.56	77.215 72	Normal
7	6	73	11 4	86	41 6	403	64	2.23	2.72	0.72	149.97 71	Normal
7	7	76	14 6	110	41 8	472	-4	2.04	1.34	3.38	92.887 04	Normal
7	8	94	18 4	86	37 2	465	78	0.48	0.08	0.56	127.71 86	Normal
7	9	73	15 9	105	38 7	428	14	1.02	0.73	1.75	83.619 35	Normal
8	0	10 5	13 0	100	33 4	442	54	1.23	2.42	3.65	111.68 64	AbNormal

c. Determine K Labeled Data That Has the Minimum Distance Table2.Classification of K Values of Testing Data 16

Ν	Н	P-	QR	Q	QT	AXI	RV	SV	R+		Diagnosa
0	R	R	S	Т	С	S	6	1	S	Jarak	Pakar
43	10	15	97	35		-36	1.0	0.5	1.5	37.6834	Normal
45	2	6	31	5		-50	2	6	2	3	Normai
		14		34			1.0	0.5	1.5	50.5770	
46	91	6	98	3	422	-36	2	6	2	8	Normal
	10	15		34	150		0.9	0.8	1.8	52.6152	
45	3	5	81	9	458	0	8	8	6	3	Normal
		14		36			1.5		2.0	63.4188	
74	82	3	97	7	429	-3	7	0.5	7	7	Normal
		17		35			0.9	0.4		64.4672	
39	85	0	91	7	425	6	9	1	1.4	5	Normal

d. Classification of New Data into Majority Labeled Data.

From the calculation results above, it is known that the tested data falls into the "Normal" class, because the closest distance value from the five neighbors (K) shows the "Normal" class.

Calculating the Level of Accuracy

After analyzing the data, it is necessary to find the level of accuracy to find out whether the results of the analysis that have been done have a high or low level of accuracy. The method used to calculate the accuracy value in this study is the success rate method and kappa statistic.

Success Rate

Success rate (Sr) is a measure of performance measurement that is usually used in classification techniques. How successful the model formed is in classifying a number of data based on the rules generated from the model formed. The measure of success is seen if the class of a data can be predicted correctly, conversely if the class of a data cannot be predicted correctly, then it is said to be a failure/Error.

To measure the SR value, the confusion matrix approach is used as follows:

			Pred	licated Class				
			Normal	Abnormal				
	Actual	Normal	12(TP)	0 (FN)				
	Class	Abnormal	4 (FP)	4 (TN)				
Tru	e Positive	e rate	=TP/(TP+FN)					
			= 12 / (12+0)= 1					
Fals	e Positiv	e Rate	=FP/ (FP+TN)					
			= 4 / (4+4)= 0.5					
Suc	cess rate		= (TP+TN)/(TP+TN+FP+FN)					
			=(12+4)/(12+4+4+0)=0.8					
Erro	or rate		=1 – Success Rate.					
			= 1 - 0.8 = 0.2					

Kappa Statistics

Kappa statistics is a method used to compare the level of accuracy of observed data with predicted data. Kappa is usually used to evaluate the classification results formed. The Kappa value interval ranges from -1 to +1. If the Kappa value = 1 then it is certain that the classification results are very accurate, the further away from the number 1 it can be said that the accuracy is decreasing. The following is the equation for calculating the Kappa value.

$$Kappa = \frac{observed \ accuracy - expected \ accuracy}{(1 - expected \ accuracy)}$$
$$Kappa = \frac{(1 - 0.5)}{(1 - 0.5)}$$
$$Kappa = 1$$

IV.CONCLUSIONS

Based on research findings and the results of testing the classification of heart disorders using the K-Nearest Neighbor method, it can be concluded that:

1. The classification system developed using the K-Nearest Neighbor method was successfully built using the PHP programming language. This system is able to perform the classification process well. After testing using the black box testing method, it can be concluded that the system built functions well and is in accordance with expectations. 2. 2. The components of the Electrocardiogram (ECG) used as variables in this study include HR value, P-R value, QRS value, QT value, QTC value, AXIS value, RV6 value, SV1 value, and R+S value. The data used in this study amounted to 100 samples, with 80 data for training and 20 data for testing. The results of this study showed an accuracy of 80%, with a Success Rate value of 0.88 and a Kappa value of 0.54.

V. SUGGESTION

- 1. Increase the amount of training data, because in the application of the K-Nearest Neighbor method, the more training data used, the higher the level of accuracy of the results. In this study, 80 data were used for training and 20 data for testing, where from 20 testing data, there were 4 data whose classification results did not match the predictions of the experts.
- 2. The web-based classification system that was built has several shortcomings and still requires development, both in terms of features and security aspects. Therefore, further research can lead to the development of this system in the form of a desktop or Android application.

REFERENCE

- [1] Anggara, Mario. (2016). Pemilihan Distance Measure Pada *K-Means Clustering* Untuk Pengelompokkan Member Di Alvaro Fitness. Jurnal Sistem dan Teknologi Informasi (JUSTIN), Vol.1 (No.1), 1-6.
- [2] Anthony, J.V dan Joannem, M.G. (2005). Understanding Interobserver Agreement: The Kappa Statistic. Family Medicine, Vol.37 (No.5),360-363.
- [3] Dineshgar, Gunsai Pooja dan Singh, Lolita. (2016). A Reveiw On Data Mining For Heart Disease Prediction. International Journal of Advanced Research in Electronics and Communication Engineering (IJARECE), Vol.5 (No.2) 462-466.

- [4] Dr. Eng, R. H., dan Sianipar, ST, M.Eng.(2018). Pemograman Database Menggunakan MySql. Yogyakarta : ANDI OFFSET.
- [5] Iswanto, ST,.(2007). Membangun Aplikasi Berbasis PHP5 Dan Firebird 1.5. Yogyakarta : ANDI OFFSET
- [6] Kementrian Kesehatan RI. (2011), Pedoman Pengendalian Faktor Resiko Peyakit Jantung Dan Pembuluh Darah, Surat Keputusan Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia Nomor 854/Menkes/SK/IX/2009. Diakses pada 4 April 2020 pukul 20:00 WIB. www.kemenkes.go.id
- [7] Mariana, N., dkk.(2015). Penerapan Algoritma K-NN (*Nearest Neighbor*) Untuk Deteksi Penyakit (Kanker Serviks). Dinamika Informatika. Vol.7 (No 1), 26-34.
- [8] Maulana, dkk. (2018). Rancang Bangun Instrumentasi Elektrokardiograf (EKG) dan Klasifikasi Kenormalan Jantung Pada Pola Sinyal EKG Menggunakan Learning Vector Quantization (LVQ). Publiser : JITCE (Journal of Information Technology and Computer Engineering), Vol.2 (No.1), 20-26.
- [9] Musa, O., dan Alang. (2017). Analisis Penyakit Paru-Paru Menggunakan Algoritma K-Nearest Neighbors Pada Rumah Sakit Aloei Saboe Kota Gorontalo. ILKOM Jurnal Ilmiah, Vol.9 (No 3), 348-352.
- [10] Napitupulu, Darmawan (2014), Studi Validitas Dan Realibilitas Faktor Sukses Implementasi EGoverentment Berdasarkan Pendekatan Kappa. Jurnal Sistem Informasi (*Journal of Information Systems*), Vol.2 (No.10), 70–74.
- [11] Prasetyo, Eko. (2012). DATA MINING Konsep Dan Aplikasi Menggunakan MATLAB. Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- [12] Rohman, A.,(2016). Komporasi Metode Klasifikasi Data Mining Untuk Prediksi Penyakit
- [13] Safriandono, A. N., (2017). Algoritma K-Nearest Neighbors Berbasis Forward Selection Untuk Mendiagnosis Penyakit Jantung Koroner. Komputaki. Vol.3 (No 1). 1-16.
- [14] Sinaga, I. R. P. dkk. (2017). Analisa Kelainan Jantung Menggunakan Sinyal Elektrokardiogram Metode Transformasi Wavelet Dan K-Nearest Neighbors. e-Proceeding of Engineering. Vol 4 (No 3). 3518-3523.