

---

## THE IMPACT OF MILITARY ASSISTANCE ON INTERNATIONAL POLITICAL DYNAMICS

**Aris Sarjito**

Republic of Indonesia Defense University

---

### **Abstract**

*This research investigates the effects of military assistance on international political dynamics, focusing on regional power shifts, political stability, bilateral relations, diplomatic ties, alliance formations, and security dynamics. The study uses qualitative research methods and secondary data analysis to elucidate the nuanced interactions between military aid and global politics. The study aims to evaluate the influence of military assistance on regional power dynamics, bilateral relations, and security dynamics, examining its potential role in regional arms races and defence capabilities. This study utilises qualitative analysis of secondary data, including scholarly articles, government reports, and historical analyses, to investigate the diverse effects of military assistance. The study reveals that military assistance significantly influences regional power dynamics, often exacerbating tensions and instability. It also affects bilateral relations, diplomatic ties, and alliance formations between nations. Moreover, military aid shapes the security landscape of recipient nations, potentially contributing to regional arms races. Implications: Understanding the complex implications of military assistance is crucial for promoting regional stability and international cooperation. Recommendations: Policymakers should prioritize transparency, accountability, and diplomatic dialogue in military assistance programs. Additionally, efforts to promote conflict resolution and peaceful diplomacy are essential in addressing regional security challenges.*

*Keywords: diplomatic relations, international politics, military assistance, regional stability, security dynamics*

### *Article history:*

Submission: 2024

Revised: April 2024

Accepted: April 2024

Published: Juni 2024

*\*Corresponding author*

Email: [arissarjito@gmail.com](mailto:arissarjito@gmail.com)

---

---

## INTRODUCTION

Military assistance has been a consistent feature of international relations, playing a pivotal role in shaping the geopolitical landscape throughout history. This research explores the historical context and significance of military assistance, shedding light on its evolution and impact on global political dynamics.

Historically, the earliest form of foreign aid was military assistance, designed to help warring parties that were considered strategically important (Williams, 2023). During World War II, the United States began to provide significant military supplies and other assistance to the Allies, even though the United States did not enter the war until December 1941 (History State, 2020). This assistance, known as Lend-Lease, was aimed at maintaining regional stability, building partner capacity, and increasing interoperability with partner militaries (Meierding & Sigman, 2021).

Since the end of World War II, the U.S. administrations of both parties have relied on military assistance as a foreign policy tool (Karlin, 2017). However, the effectiveness of these programs in achieving national security objectives has been mixed (Meierding & Sigman, 2021). Some argue that military assistance is a "halfway measure" that neither solves the underlying problems of weak states nor achieves the desired political outcomes (Karlin, 2017). Others suggest that the success of international influence attempts depends on how programs are executed and the specific mechanisms employed (Meierding & Sigman, 2021).

In the post-Cold War era, foreign aid has been used to promote economic development, smooth transitions to democracy and capitalism, and address transnational problems (Williams, 2023). The United States has provided foreign aid as part of peacemaking or peacekeeping initiatives in Northern Ireland and parts of Africa (Williams, 2023). Additionally, foreign aid has been used to promote judicial reforms, assist the activities of human rights organizations and labour groups, and address transnational problems (Williams, 2023).

In conclusion, military assistance has significantly shaped international relations and the geopolitical landscape throughout history. Its effectiveness in achieving national security objectives and promoting global stability depends on the specific mechanisms employed and the execution of the programs. As the world continues to evolve, the role of military assistance in international relations will undoubtedly continue to be a topic of interest and debate.

### Historical Evolution of Military Assistance

The roots of military assistance can be traced back to ancient civilizations, where military alliances were formed to ensure mutual protection and security. In the modern

---

era, the aftermath of World War II witnessed the establishment of various military assistance programs, such as the Marshall Plan, aimed at rebuilding war-torn nations and containing the spread of communism. During the Cold War, military assistance took on a new dimension with the ideological divide between the United States and the Soviet Union. The provision of military aid became a tool for advancing strategic interests and influencing the political orientation of recipient nations. For instance, the United States' military assistance to Afghanistan in the 1980s played a crucial role in countering Soviet influence in the region (AFR, 2024; Wikipedia contributors, 2024).

The Cold War era saw the expansion of military assistance, with the United States enacting the Military Assistance Program under the Mutual Defense Assistance Act to support "freedom-loving countries against aggression" and to counteract Soviet expansion (Wikipedia contributors, 2024). However, the effectiveness of military assistance programs has been debated. Some argue that such assistance is a "halfway measure" that neither solves the underlying problems of weak states nor achieves the desired political outcomes. The success of international influence attempts through military assistance depends on the specific mechanisms employed and the execution of the programs (Karlin, 2017).

In the post-Cold War era, foreign aid, including military assistance, has been used to promote economic development and democracy and address transnational problems (Williams, 2023). The role of military assistance in international relations continues to be a significant and debated topic, with its effectiveness in achieving national security objectives and promoting global stability contingent on various factors (Karlin, 2017).

#### The Significance of Military Assistance in International Relations

The historical context and significance of military assistance in international relations are multifaceted. Military assistance has been a longstanding feature of foreign aid, with its roots tracing back to ancient civilizations where military alliances were formed for mutual protection and security (Williams, 2023). In the modern era, military assistance programs, such as the Marshall Plan, were established after World War II to rebuild war-torn nations and contain the spread of communism (AFR, 2024).

During the Cold War, military assistance became a tool for advancing strategic interests and influencing the political orientation of recipient nations. For example, the United States' military assistance to Afghanistan in the 1980s played a crucial role in countering Soviet influence in the region. The provision of military aid was often tied to political conditions, shaping the behaviour of recipient states. The concept of conditional military assistance was evident in U.S. assistance programs during the Cold War, where ideological alignment and political considerations played a pivotal role in determining aid recipients (AFR, 2024).

---

The North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) exemplifies a collective security arrangement where military assistance is a cornerstone of member states' mutual defence, serving as a tangible expression of alliance commitments and fostering cooperation and solidarity among nations (AFR, 2024).

While military assistance has been used to promote economic development, and democracy, and address transnational problems in the post-Cold War era, its effectiveness in achieving national security objectives and promoting global stability has been debated. The success of international influence attempts through military assistance depends on the specific mechanisms employed and the execution of the programs (Karlin, 2017).

In conclusion, military assistance has played a significant role in shaping international relations and the geopolitical landscape. Its historical evolution and impact on global political dynamics underscore its continued importance and the ongoing debate surrounding its effectiveness in achieving strategic objectives.

Case Studies: The Vietnam War and the Gulf War

The Vietnam War and the Gulf War are significant case studies illustrating the historical context and significance of military assistance in international relations. During the Vietnam War, the United States' military assistance to South Vietnam underscored the complexities and challenges associated with the intervention. The failure of military assistance to achieve desired outcomes highlighted the limitations of relying solely on military means to achieve political objectives. A lack of clear objectives marked the United States' involvement in the Vietnam War, a lack of understanding of the local culture and political dynamics, and a lack of effective communication with the South Vietnamese government (Reese & C, 1993).

In contrast, the Gulf War of 1990–1991 showcased successful coalition military assistance in response to Iraq's invasion of Kuwait. The United Nations-backed military coalition, with the United States at its helm, demonstrated the effectiveness of collective military assistance in addressing regional threats and upholding international norms. The Gulf War was a significant turning point in the history of military assistance, as it marked the first time that a coalition of countries came together to respond to a regional threat under the auspices of the United Nations (Reese & C, 1993).

In the realm of international relations, the provision of military assistance has been a consistent phenomenon, shaping the dynamics between states and influencing global politics. This essay outlines the problem statement, research objectives, and research

---

questions that guide a comprehensive investigation into understanding the impact of military assistance on international political dynamics.

**Problem Statement:**

Military assistance, often employed as a foreign policy tool, has profound implications for the political landscape of the nations involved. Despite its pervasive nature, a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted impact of military assistance on international political dynamics remains elusive. This research addresses this gap by delving into the nuanced consequences of military aid on state relations, regional stability, and global power structures.

**Research Objectives:**

This research aims to systematically examine the impact of military assistance on international political dynamics. The specific research objectives include: Analyzing Regional Power Shifts: To assess how military assistance influences power distribution within specific regions and explore the resultant changes in regional political dynamics, Examining Bilateral Relations: To investigate the impact of military assistance on bilateral relationships between donor and recipient nations, considering factors such as alliance formation and diplomatic ties, Assessing Security Dynamics: To evaluate how military assistance affects the security dynamics of nations, including the recipient country's defense capabilities and the potential for arms races in the region.

**Research Questions:**

Aligned with the research objectives, the study will address the following research questions: How does military assistance contribute to shifts in regional power dynamics, and what are the implications for regional political stability? How does military assistance impact bilateral relations between donor and recipient nations, and how does it influence diplomatic ties and alliance formations? How does military assistance shape the security dynamics of nations, including the recipient's defence capabilities, and does it contribute to regional arms races?

By addressing the outlined problem statement and pursuing the specified research objectives and questions, the study aims to provide valuable insights into the broader implications of military aid on the geopolitical landscape.

## **METHODS**

Qualitative research methods, particularly secondary data analysis, offer valuable insights into complex phenomena such as the impact of military assistance on international political dynamics. This research discusses the application of qualitative research methods according to Creswell in studying this topic, encompassing research design, participants, variables, data collection procedures, and qualitative data analysis

---

(Creswell, 2013).

The research design adopts a descriptive and explanatory approach, aiming to understand and elucidate the multifaceted implications of military assistance on international political dynamics. It involves the systematic analysis of existing secondary data from scholarly articles, government reports, and historical analyses.

The participants in this study include various stakeholders involved in the provision and receipt of military aid, such as donor countries, recipient nations, international organizations, and non-state actors. Their perspectives and interactions contribute to a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter. The main theory under examination is the impact of military assistance on a country's foreign policy. Variables include the nature and extent of military aid, the recipient nation's defence capabilities, regional power dynamics, diplomatic relations, alliance formations, and security dynamics.

Secondary data collection involves gathering relevant literature and documents about military assistance and international politics. This includes academic journals, government publications, policy papers, and historical records. Data collection is systematic and iterative, ensuring comprehensive coverage of relevant information.

Qualitative data analysis follows a thematic approach, identifying patterns, themes, and relationships within the collected data. It involves coding, categorizing, and interpreting textual data to uncover insights into the impact of military assistance on international political dynamics. Techniques such as content analysis and constant comparison are employed to ensure rigour and validity. Qualitative research methods, particularly secondary data analysis, provide a robust framework for examining the impact of military assistance on international political dynamics. By employing Creswell's principles of research design, data collection, and qualitative data analysis, researchers can generate valuable insights that inform policymaking and contribute to the scholarly discourse in the field.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **Unraveling Regional Power Dynamics: Military Assistance and Power Transition Theory**

The interplay between military assistance and regional power dynamics is a complex dance that significantly influences the stability and equilibrium within a region. Using the theoretical framework of A.F.K. Organski and Jacek Kugler's Power Transition Theory, this discussion explores the connection between military assistance and changes in regional power dynamics. By analyzing military aid through the lens of this theory,

---

we gain insights into its role in power transitions, potential conflicts, and the ensuing implications for regional political stability.

Power Transition Theory and Military Assistance:

Power Transition Theory provides a valuable theoretical foundation for understanding how changes in power distribution within a region can lead to either conflict or stability. At its core, the theory posits that as a rising power challenges the established dominant power, power shifts occur, influencing regional political dynamics (Organski & Kugler, 1980). Military assistance becomes a critical component in this power transition, both as a catalyst and a consequence of shifting power dynamics.

Military assistance plays a crucial role in power transitions by either exacerbating or mitigating tensions between rising and established powers. In some cases, military assistance provided to a rising power can fuel its ambitions and increase the likelihood of conflict with the dominant power. This can be seen in historical examples, such as the arms race between the United States and the Soviet Union during the Cold War, where military aid to both sides escalated tensions and heightened the risk of a catastrophic conflict. Conversely, military assistance can also serve as a means to stabilize regional politics by providing security guarantees and deterrence against aggression. This was evident in the post-World War II period, when the United States provided military assistance to its European allies through NATO, helping to prevent further conflicts and maintain a balance of power in the region. Overall, the role of military assistance in power transitions is complex and multifaceted, potentially exacerbating or alleviating regional political tensions (Brennan Jr. et al., 2013; Gibbons, 2006; Kim & Morrow, 1992; Ou-Yang, 2021).

Military Assistance as a Catalyst for Power Transitions:

Military assistance plays a crucial role in power transitions by influencing the capabilities and capacities of states within a region. The provision of military aid can empower rising powers, enabling them to challenge the established order. When a rising power receives substantial military assistance, it may accelerate its ascent and directly challenge the dominant power, instigating regional power transitions. This can be seen in historical examples, such as the arms race between the United States and the Soviet Union during the Cold War, where military aid to both sides escalated tensions and heightened the risk of a catastrophic conflict. Conversely, military assistance can also serve as a means to stabilize regional politics by providing security guarantees and deterrence against aggression, as seen in the post-World War II period, when the United States provided military assistance to its European allies through NATO, helping to prevent further conflicts and maintain a balance of power in the region. Overall, the role of military assistance in power transitions is complex and multifaceted, potentially

---

exacerbating or alleviating regional political tensions (Brennan Jr. et al., 2013; Gibbons, 2006; Kim & Morrow, 1992; Ou-Yang, 2021).

#### Potential for Conflict:

As power transitions unfold, the potential for conflict looms large. According to the Power Transition Theory, periods of power shifts are inherently unstable and more likely to result in conflicts as rising and declining powers compete for influence (Organski & Kugler, 1980). By bolstering certain states' military capabilities, military assistance may inadvertently intensify geopolitical rivalries, increasing the risk of regional conflicts.

Furthermore, providing military assistance can also create dependency among recipient states, leading to a reliance on external powers for their security needs. This can further exacerbate existing tensions and rivalries, as states may feel compelled to align themselves with different external actors to secure their interests. In this way, military assistance can inadvertently fuel the flames of conflict rather than promote stability and peace. Therefore, policymakers must carefully consider the potential consequences and unintended effects of providing military assistance during power transitions.

The Power Transition Theory (PTT) suggests that periods of power shifts are inherently unstable and more likely to result in conflicts as rising and declining powers compete for influence. By bolstering certain states' military capabilities, military assistance may inadvertently intensify geopolitical rivalries, increasing the risk of regional conflicts. Additionally, providing military assistance can create a sense of dependency among recipient states, leading to a reliance on external powers for their security needs, further exacerbating existing tensions and rivalries. Therefore, it is crucial for policymakers to carefully consider the potential consequences and unintended effects of providing military assistance during power transitions (Chen & Evers, 2023; Koch, 2021; Robert Grass, 2015; Steinback & Childs, 2023).

#### Implications for Regional Political Stability:

The implications of military assistance on regional political stability are complex and multifaceted. While military aid can contribute to power transitions and potential conflicts, it can also be a stabilizing force if employed judiciously. Effective management of military assistance programs, considering the principles of the Power Transition Theory, involves diplomatic efforts to prevent destabilizing conflicts and encourage a peaceful redistribution of power.

Some key aspects of managing military assistance programs for regional stability include Economic and development assistance: Building a consensus with foreign partners that the United States is an important participant in efforts to increase global economic growth and stability can contribute to regional stability (USDOS, 2001). Humanitarian relief efforts: Providing humanitarian aid can help prevent unnecessary



---

suffering and contribute to regional stability (USDOS, 2001). Regional management of transboundary resources: Managing shared resources, such as water, can help reduce tensions and promote stability (USDOS, 2001). Military security assistance: Providing military aid can help strengthen defence capabilities and contribute to regional stability (Grover & Office, 2019). Preventive diplomacy and confidence-building measures: Diplomatic efforts can help prevent conflicts and build trust among regional actors (USDOS, 2001). International information sharing: Sharing information and coordinating efforts with other countries can help address regional security challenges and promote stability (USDOS, 2001). Civilian institution building and democracy promotion: Investing in civilian institutions and promoting democracy can help address underlying grievances and contribute to long-term stability (Shackelford et al., 2023).

Military assistance can positively and negatively impact regional political stability. Effective management of military assistance programs requires a balanced approach that considers the principles of the Power Transition Theory and employs diplomatic efforts to prevent destabilizing conflicts and encourage a peaceful redistribution of power.

Case Studies: Applying Theory to Practice:

The provision of military assistance to Cuba by the Soviet Union during the Cold War significantly altered the power dynamics in the Western Hemisphere, leading to heightened tensions and geopolitical complexities. Examining historical case studies such as this one provides practical insights into the interplay between military aid, power transitions, and regional stability. Effective management of military assistance programs requires a balanced approach that considers the principles of the Power Transition Theory and employs diplomatic efforts to prevent destabilizing conflicts and encourage a peaceful redistribution of power. Diplomatic strategies such as economic and development assistance, humanitarian relief efforts, regional management of transboundary resources, military security assistance, preventive diplomacy, confidence-building measures, and international information sharing can all contribute to regional stability (Rhodes, 2022; USDOS, 2001).

### **Unveiling Diplomatic Dynamics: Military Assistance, Bilateral Relations, and Alliance Politics**

Military assistance stands at the nexus of international relations, serving as a dynamic instrument that shapes bilateral relations and influences diplomatic ties (I. A. Sarjito, Duarte, et al., 2023). This discussion explores the nuanced impact of military assistance on the interactions between donor and recipient nations, employing the theoretical frameworks of Alliance Politics and the Balance of Power, as elucidated by Stephen Walt. By examining the strategic dimensions of military aid, we can discern its role in

---

solidifying alliances, balancing power, and shaping the complex tapestry of diplomatic relationships.

#### Military Assistance as a Diplomatic Tool:

The provision of military assistance is inherently tied to the realm of diplomacy, representing a form of statecraft with far-reaching implications. Stephen Walt's Alliance Politics theory asserts that military aid serves as a crucial tool for states to enhance bilateral relations and navigate the intricate web of alliances within the international system (Walt, 1990). Through military assistance, nations express commitment, build trust, and signal their strategic interests.

The strategic use of military assistance to exert influence and gain leverage in the global arena is a well-documented phenomenon. Major powers such as the United States, China, and Russia often provide military aid to countries to advance their geopolitical interests. This can be through the sale of advanced weaponry, training of military personnel, or providing logistical support, all of which play a pivotal role in shaping the power dynamics and alliances within the international system.

The United States, as a provider of significant military assistance, has been noted to have more influence than it may realize. Recent efforts to condition military aid to certain countries on specific actions demonstrate the leverage that can be exerted through such assistance. However, the involvement in the details of a foreign country's military is also recognized to be rife with colonial undertones, and efforts should be made to avoid creating the perception of bullying or interference (Karlin, 2017).

Military assistance is just one aspect of a state's power resources, including economic wealth, power in international organizations, and cultural output. The United States, China, Russia, and other countries have expanded their engagements in various domains, including the military, economic, diplomatic, and informational, to shape international influence (Meierding & Sigman, 2021).

In the context of the United States, military assistance is often seen as a component of its broader diplomatic strategies to promote regional stability. This includes efforts such as economic and development assistance, humanitarian relief, and confidence-building measures, all of which contribute to the country's influence and strategic objectives (USDOS, 2001).

In conclusion, major powers use the provision of military assistance as a key tool to influence international dynamics and further their interests. While it can be a means to exert influence, it is important to consider the broader implications for regional stability and the potential for unintended consequences.

#### Solidifying Alliances through Military Aid:

Military assistance is central in solidifying alliances between donor and recipient nations. This is evident in historical instances where military aid has fostered enduring

---

partnerships. The United States' provision of military assistance to its NATO allies during the Cold War exemplifies how such aid can strengthen the fabric of alliances, creating a sense of collective security and shared strategic objectives (Walt, 1990).

Military aid has been used as a means to build and maintain strong relationships with non-NATO countries. For example, the United States has provided military assistance to countries in the Asia-Pacific region, such as Japan and South Korea, as a way to enhance regional security and promote stability in the face of common threats. This form of aid has not only bolstered the defence capabilities of these nations but has also fostered a sense of trust and cooperation between the donor and recipient countries. By sharing military resources and expertise, the United States has been able to establish a network of alliances that serve to deter potential adversaries and promote peace and stability on a global scale (Booth, 1991; Cole, 1992).

Military aid can also be used as a tool for military diplomacy, which is a form of soft power that can enhance a country's influence and promote regional stability. Military diplomacy involves building relationships with foreign militaries through activities such as joint exercises, training programs, and military-to-military exchanges. This can help build trust and cooperation between countries and can also serve as a means to promote regional security and stability (Ebitz, 2019).

In summary, military aid can be used to build and maintain strong relationships with non-NATO countries, enhance regional security, and promote stability. A military diplomacy is a form of soft power that can enhance a country's influence and promote regional stability. By sharing military resources and expertise, countries can establish a network of alliances that serve to deter potential adversaries and promote peace and stability on a global scale.

#### Balancing Power and Shaping Diplomatic Ties:

The Balance of Power theory complements Alliance Politics in understanding how military assistance influences diplomatic ties. States strategically employ military aid to balance power within a region, influencing the distribution of influence and resources. This delicate balancing act contributes to the intricate choreography of diplomatic relationships, with military assistance as a means to project influence, deter potential adversaries, and align strategic interests (Walt, 1990).

The Balance of Power theory recognizes that military aid can directly and indirectly affect diplomatic ties. Directly, it can enhance a state's military capabilities, making it a more attractive ally or a potential threat to other states. Indirectly, military aid can shape the perceptions and behaviours of states, influencing their diplomatic strategies and alliances. This dynamic interplay between military assistance and diplomatic ties is crucial in understanding the complex dynamics of international relations. By providing

---

military aid, states can not only strengthen their position but also shape a region's power dynamics and diplomatic landscape. This strategic use of military assistance highlights the interconnectedness between military and diplomatic strategies and underscores the importance of a holistic approach to international relations analysis (Karlin, 2017; M. E. Weaver, 2014).

The use of military diplomacy, which involves building relationships with foreign militaries through activities such as joint exercises, training programs, and military-to-military exchanges, is a form of soft power that can enhance a country's influence and promote regional stability. Military diplomacy can help build trust and cooperation between countries and serve as a means to promote regional security and stability (Ebitz, 2019).

Military aid's impact on diplomatic ties is multifaceted, directly enhancing military capabilities and indirectly shaping the perceptions and behaviours of states. This dynamic interplay is crucial in understanding the complex dynamics of international relations, highlighting the interconnectedness between military and diplomatic strategies.

#### Competition and Cooperation in Diplomacy:

Military assistance can have both positive and negative effects on diplomatic ties. While it can strengthen alliances and collaborative endeavours, it may also introduce elements of competition and rivalry, especially when multiple states vie for influence in a region. The strategic calculation of military aid becomes a diplomatic manoeuvre, impacting the geopolitical landscape and influencing the balance of power. The use of military diplomacy, which involves building relationships with foreign militaries through activities such as joint exercises, training programs, and military-to-military exchanges, is a form of soft power that can enhance a country's influence and promote regional stability (Ebitz, 2019; Karlin, 2017; Pajak, 2022).

In addition to military aid, diplomatic strategies such as economic and development assistance, humanitarian relief efforts, regional management of transboundary resources, preventive diplomacy, confidence-building measures, and international information sharing can all contribute to regional stability (Drab, 2018; USDOS, 2001).

Considering the broader implications of military assistance for diplomatic ties and regional stability is important. The impact of military aid can be multifaceted, and a holistic approach is necessary to understand the complex dynamics of international relations.

#### Case Studies: Applying Theory to Practice:

Analyzing historical case studies, such as the U.S. military assistance to South Korea during the Cold War, provides practical insights into the impact of military aid on

bilateral relations and diplomatic ties. In this case, military assistance not only solidified the alliance but also influenced the broader diplomatic relations in the region. The U.S. military aid to South Korea was crucial in deterring potential adversaries and promoting regional peace and stability (Ebitz, 2019; Karlin, 2017; Pajak, 2022).

The strategic use of military assistance can positively and negatively affect diplomatic ties. While it can strengthen alliances and collaborative endeavours, it may also introduce elements of competition and rivalry, especially when multiple states vie for influence in a region. The strategic calculation of military aid becomes a diplomatic manoeuvre, impacting the geopolitical landscape and influencing the balance of power (Sachar, 2003; M. E. Weaver, 2014).

Military assistance can positively and negatively affect diplomatic ties. Analyzing historical case studies, such as the U.S. military assistance to South Korea during the Cold War, provides practical insights into the impact of military aid on bilateral relations and diplomatic ties. The strategic use of military assistance can positively and negatively affect diplomatic ties, and a holistic approach is necessary to understand the complex dynamics of international relations.

### **Unintended Consequences: Military Assistance, Security Dynamics, and the Specter of Arms Races**

The provision of military assistance carries profound implications for the security dynamics of nations, influencing their defence capabilities and, in some instances, contributing to the unsettling phenomenon of regional arms races (A. Sarjito, 2023b). This discussion delves into this complex relationship under the theoretical framework of the Security Dilemma and Arms Races as put forth by academics like Robert Jervis. By applying the principles of the Security Dilemma, we can dissect how military assistance unintentionally shapes security dynamics, leading to potential escalations in arms buildups within regions.

The Security Dilemma:

The Security Dilemma posits that actions one state takes to enhance security may inadvertently increase insecurity among other states (Jervis, 1993). This fundamental concept becomes a lens through which we can analyze the unintended consequences of military assistance on the security dynamics of nations and its potential contribution to regional arms races.

The Security Dilemma is a concept in international relations that suggests even well-intentioned actions taken by one state to bolster its security can inadvertently breed insecurity among neighbouring states. This concept provides a framework to examine how military assistance can potentially fuel arms buildups within regions, exacerbating

---

tensions and further destabilizing the security landscape (Rosen et al., 2018; M. E. Weaver, 2014; V. Weaver, 2018).

The Security Dilemma highlights the potential for unintended consequences in the context of military assistance. For example, a state may provide military aid to another to enhance its security. However, neighbouring states may perceive this action as a threat, leading to an arms race and further destabilization of the region (Karlin, 2017).

To better understand the impact of military assistance on diplomatic ties and regional stability, it is essential to consider the Security Dilemma and its potential implications. By analyzing historical case studies, such as the U.S. military assistance to South Korea during the Cold War, we can gain insights into the complex dynamics of international relations and the potential for unintended consequences (Jervis, 1978).

In summary, the Security Dilemma provides a framework to examine the potential for unintended consequences of military assistance. By considering this concept, we can better understand the complex interplay between military aid, security dynamics, and the potential for regional arms races.

**Military Assistance and Defense Capabilities:**

The Security Dilemma is a concept in international relations that suggests even well-intentioned actions taken by one state to bolster its security can inadvertently breed insecurity among neighbouring states. This concept provides a framework to examine how military assistance can potentially fuel arms buildups within regions, exacerbating tensions and further destabilizing the security landscape (Liff & Ikenberry, 2014; Wivel, 2019).

The Security Dilemma highlights the potential for unintended consequences in the context of military assistance. For example, a state may provide military aid to another to enhance its security. However, this action may be perceived as a threat by neighbouring states, leading to a spiral of mistrust and increased insecurity among regional actors (Wivel, 2019).

To better understand the impact of military assistance on diplomatic ties and regional stability, it is essential to consider the Security Dilemma and its potential implications. By analyzing historical case studies, such as the U.S. military assistance to South Korea during the Cold War, we can gain insights into the complex dynamics of international relations and the potential for unintended consequences (Karlin, 2017; Liff & Ikenberry, 2014; I. A. Sarjito, Djati, et al., 2023).

In summary, the Security Dilemma provides a framework to examine the potential for unintended consequences of military assistance. By considering this concept, we can better understand the complex interplay between military aid, security dynamics, and the potential for regional arms races.

---

### Contributing to Regional Arms Races:

The Security Dilemma, a concept in international relations, suggests that actions taken by one state to increase its security can lead to reactions that decrease the original state's security. This can result in a self-reinforcing cycle, with each state attempting to secure its interests, inadvertently exacerbating regional tensions and contributing to the proliferation of arms (Liff & Ikenberry, 2014; A. Sarjito, 2022; Wivel, 2019).

The unintended consequence of heightened insecurity, as a response to the perceived threat of increased defence capabilities facilitated by military assistance, may contribute to regional arms races. States, reacting to the actions of their neighbours, may seek to maintain or regain military parity, leading to an escalation in arms acquisitions and military expenditures (Magness, n.d.; Wivel, 2019).

In summary, the Security Dilemma can lead to a self-reinforcing cycle of heightened insecurity and regional arms races as states react to perceived threats and seek to maintain their security. This dynamic underscores the complex interplay between military aid, security dynamics, and the potential for unintended consequences in the realm of international relations.

### Case Studies: Applying Theory to Practice:

The unintended consequences of military aid on regional security dynamics, particularly in the Middle East during the Cold War, are well-documented. The strategic provision of military assistance to certain regional states, intended to counter perceived threats, contributed to an arms race and heightened tensions (Al Sarhan, 2017; Karlin, 2017). The U.S. foreign policy in the Middle East, including the provision of military assistance, has been influenced by a variety of strategic interests, such as countering the influence of the Soviet Union, ensuring the free flow of oil from the Gulf, and guaranteeing the survival and security of Israel (Baxter & Akbarzadeh, 2012). This historical context provides valuable insights into the complex interplay between military aid, security dynamics, and the unintended consequences of such assistance on regional stability.

### Mitigating Unintended Consequences:

Recognizing the potential for unintended consequences, policymakers must approach military assistance with a nuanced understanding of the Security Dilemma. Diplomatic efforts, transparent communication, and cooperative security frameworks can help mitigate the unintended consequences of military assistance, fostering regional stability and reducing the risk of arms races (Karlin, 2017; A. Sarjito, 2023a; USDOS, 2001).

Historical case studies, such as the U.S. military assistance to various Middle Eastern countries during the Cold War, offer insights into the unintended consequences of military aid on regional security dynamics. The strategic provision of military assistance

---

to certain regional states, intended to counter perceived threats, contributed to an arms race and heightened tensions (Collier & Hoeffler, 2007). These examples underscore the importance of a holistic approach to international relations analysis, which considers the complex interplay between military aid, security dynamics, and the potential for unintended consequences.

## CONCLUSION

The relationship between military assistance, power transitions, and regional political stability is intricate and multifaceted. Power Transition Theory offers a lens through which we can analyze how military aid influences the redistribution of power within a region and the subsequent implications for stability. As states strategically wield military assistance, they become active participants in the geopolitical drama, shaping the course of regional dynamics and influencing the delicate equilibrium of power.

Military assistance is a pivotal factor in shaping the diplomatic landscape between donor and recipient nations. The theoretical frameworks of Alliance Politics and the Balance of Power, as articulated by Stephen Walt, provide a comprehensive lens through which we can analyze how military aid influences bilateral relations, solidifies alliances, and contributes to the intricate dance of diplomacy. As states strategically wield military assistance, it becomes a dynamic force that echoes across international relations, impacting diplomatic ties and shaping the alliances that define the global order.

The relationship between military assistance, security dynamics, and regional arms races is intricate and laden with unintended consequences. The Security Dilemma provides a theoretical framework to understand how actions taken to enhance security can inadvertently lead to increased insecurity among neighbouring states, potentially contributing to arms races. By recognizing these dynamics, policymakers can balance strengthening national security and avoiding unintended consequences that may destabilize regions.

Implications:

The study emphasizes the importance of understanding the relationship between military assistance, power transitions, and regional stability. It suggests that policymakers should evaluate the potential effects of military aid on power dynamics to prevent destabilizing effects. Diplomats should use alliance politics and the Balance of Power to inform their diplomatic strategy. The study also emphasizes the need for strategic planning to account for the complex interplay of factors and the need for international cooperation to address regional security challenges.

## REFERENCES

AFR. (2024). *Foreign Aid - The Cold War Foreign Aid program, 1947–1953* Read more:



- 
- <https://www.americanforeignrelations.com/E-N/Foreign-Aid-The-cold-war-foreign-aid-program-1947-1953.html#ixzz8PipptSbq>. American Foreign Relations.
- Al Sarhan, A. S. (2017). United States foreign policy and the Middle East. *Open Journal of Political Science*, 7(04), 454.
- Baxter, K., & Akbarzadeh, S. (2012). *US foreign policy in the Middle East: The roots of anti-Americanism*. Routledge.
- Booth, K. (1991). *National security and international relations*. Oxford University Press.
- Brennan Jr., R., Ries, C. P., Hanauer, L., Connable, B., Kelly, T. K., McNerney, M. J., Young, S., Campbell, J. H., & McMahan, K. S. (2013). *Smooth Transitions? Lessons Learned from Transferring U.S. Military Responsibilities to Civilian Authorities in Iraq*. RAND Corporation. [https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\\_briefs/RB9749.html](https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB9749.html)
- Chen, L. S., & Evers, M. M. (2023). "Wars without Gun Smoke": Global Supply Chains, Power Transitions, and Economic Statecraft. *International Security*, 48(2), 164–204. [https://doi.org/10.1162/isec\\_a\\_00473](https://doi.org/10.1162/isec_a_00473)
- Cole, P. M. (1992). N3327.
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2007). Unintended consequences: does aid promote arms races? *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 69(1), 1–27.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (3rd ed.)*. Sage.
- Drab, L. (2018). Defence diplomacy – an important tool for the implementation of foreign policy and security of the state. *Security and Defence Quarterly*, 20(3), 57–71. <https://doi.org/10.5604/01.3001.0012.5152>
- Ebitz, A. (2019, February 12). *The use of military diplomacy in great power competition: Lessons learned from the Marshall Plan*. BROOKINGS. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/the-use-of-military-diplomacy-in-great-power-competition/>
- Gibbons, F. (2006). *Military Support to Stabilization, Security, Transition, and Reconstruction Operations Joint Operating Concept*.
- Grover, J. A., & Office, U. S. G. A. (2019). *Security Assistance US Agencies Should Improve Oversight of Human Rights Training for Foreign Security Forces*.
- History State. (2020, February 18). *Lend-Lease and Military Aid to the Allies in the Early Years of World War II*. <https://history.state.gov/milestones>
- Jervis, R. (1978). Cooperation under the security dilemma. *World Politics*, 30(2), 167–214.
- Jervis, R. (1993). Arms Control, Stability, and Causes of War. *Political Science Quarterly*, 108(2), 239–253. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2152010>
- Karlin, M. (2017, December). *Why military assistance programs disappoint*. BROOKINGS. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/why-military-assistance-programs->

---

disappoint/

- Kim, W., & Morrow, J. D. (1992). When Do Power Shifts Lead to War? *American Journal of Political Science*, 36(4), 896–922. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2111353>
- Koch, C. J. (2021). Testing the Power Transition Theory with Relative Military Power. *Journal of Strategic Security*, 14(3), 86–111. <https://doi.org/10.5038/1944-0472.14.3.1884>
- Liff, A. P., & Ikenberry, G. J. (2014). Racing toward Tragedy?: China's Rise, Military Competition in the Asia Pacific, and the Security Dilemma. *International Security*, 39(2), 52–91. [https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC\\_a\\_00176](https://doi.org/10.1162/ISEC_a_00176)
- Magness, M. A. J. S. P. (n.d.). *THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ARMS DYNAMICS & THE SECURITY DILEMMA—AN ANALYSIS*.
- Meierding, E., & Sigman, R. (2021). Understanding the Mechanisms of International Influence in an Era of Great Power Competition. *Journal of Global Security Studies*, 0(0), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jogss/ogab011>
- Organski, A. F. K., & Kugler, J. (1980). *The war ledger*. University of Chicago Press.
- Ou-Yang, D. (2021, January 16). *D.C. National Guard assists in the peaceful transition of power.* US ARMY. [https://www.army.mil/article/242472/d\\_c\\_national\\_guard\\_provides\\_assistance\\_for\\_peaceful\\_transition\\_of\\_power](https://www.army.mil/article/242472/d_c_national_guard_provides_assistance_for_peaceful_transition_of_power)
- Pajak, R. F. (2022). The Effectiveness of Soviet Arms Aid Diplomacy in the Third World. In *The Soviet Union in the Third World* (pp. 384–408). Routledge.
- Reese, W. A., & C, I. C. O. F. T. H. E. A. F. W. D. (1993). *The Principle of the Objective and Promoting National Interests: Desert Shield/Storm--A Case Study*. Industrial College of the Armed Forces, National Defense University.
- Rhodes, C. (2022). *Case Studies: Cuban Revolution 1956–59*. [https://permanent.fdlp.gov/gpo107949/ARIS\\_Cuba-BOOK-small.pdf](https://permanent.fdlp.gov/gpo107949/ARIS_Cuba-BOOK-small.pdf).
- Robert Grass, M. (2015). *Master Of Military Studies Title: Power Transition And United States-China Relations*.
- Rosen, M. A., Diaz-Granados, D., Dietz, A. S., Benishek, L. E., Thompson, D., Pronovost, P. J., Hopkins, J., Weaver, S. J., & Michael Rosen, note A. (2018). Teamwork in Healthcare: Key Discoveries Enabling Safer, High-Quality Care HHS Public Access. *Am Psychol*, 73(4), 433–450. <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000298>
- Sachar, B. S. (2003). Cooperation in military training as a tool of peacetime military diplomacy. *Strategic Analysis*, 27(3), 404–421.
- Sarjito, A. (2022). Perang Hibrida: Perang Generasi Keempat. *Manajemen Pertahanan: Jurnal Pemikiran Dan Penelitian Manajemen Pertahanan*, 8(1).
- Sarjito, A. (2023a). Defense Policy Roadmap Towards a Golden Indonesia 2045:

- 
- Establishment of the Indonesian Space Armed Forces. *Citizen: Jurnal Ilmiah Multidisiplin Indonesia*, 3(3), 188–197.
- Sarjito, A. (2023b). The Role of Private Military Companies in Defense Policy and Military Operations. *Andalas Journal of International Studies (AJIS)*, 12(1), 38–53.
- Sarjito, I. A., Djati, S. P., & Th, M. (2023). *Manajemen Pertahanan*. Indonesia Emas Group.
- Sarjito, I. A., Duarte, E. P., & Sos, S. (2023). *Geopolitik dan Geostrategi Pertahanan: Tantangan Keamanan Global*. Indonesia Emas Group.
- Shackelford, E., Kessler, E., & Sanderson, E. (2023). *Less is More - US SSA to Africa 8-2023, Published*. <https://globalaffairs.org/research/report/less-more-new-strategy-us-security-assistance-africa>
- Steinback, A., & Childs, S. (2023). The Deficiency of Disparity The Limits of Systemic Theory and the Need for Strategic Studies in Power Transition Theory. *Journal of Advanced Military Studies*, 14(1), 201–241. <https://doi.org/10.21140/mcu.20231401009>
- USDOS. (2001). 06. *Regional Stability*. US Department of State. <https://2009-2017.state.gov/s/d/rm/rls/perfrpt/2001/html/9825.htm>
- Walt, S. M. (1990). *The origins of the alliance*. Cornell University Press.
- Weaver, M. E. (2014). An Example from the Cuban Missile Crisis. *Diplomatic History*, 38(1), 137–181. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/26376538>
- Weaver, V. (2018). *Afro-Pessimism and Identity Politics*. 16(2), 442–457.
- Wikipedia contributors. (2024, January 16). *Mutual Defense Assistance Act*. In Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. [https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Mutual\\_Defense\\_Assistance\\_Act&oldid=1196194704](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Mutual_Defense_Assistance_Act&oldid=1196194704)
- Williams, L. C. (2023, November 16). *US and Indonesia expand defence cooperation, starting with cyber and space*. Defence One. <https://www.defenseone.com/defense-systems/2023/11/us-indonesia-expand-defense-cooperation-starting-cyber-and-space/392104/>
- Wivel, A. (2019, January 7). *security dilemma*. Encyclopedia Britannica. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/security-dilemma>